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## THEOREM [Gordan's Lemma]

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be the cone generated by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. Then $C \cap L$ is an affine monoid $M$, i.e. a finitely generated submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$.
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THEOREM [Hilbert's Basis Theorem]
There are only finitely many irreducible elements in $C \cap L$ and they form the unique minimal system of generators, the Hilbert Basis.
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Determine only some points from $B(S)$ using

1. Integer Programming
2. Approximation

## Integer Programming
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```
Algorithm Bottom Points
    3: while \(\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset\) do
    8: if IP \((\star)\) is solvable for \(T\) then
    9: \(\quad y \leftarrow\) optimal solution of \((\star)\)
10: \(\quad\) store \(y\) into \(\mathcal{B}\)
11: \(\quad\) for all hyperplanes \(H_{i}\) of \(T\) do
12:
13:
14:
    \(T_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{cone}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i-1}, y, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}=\{(1,2),(1,1)\}\)
```
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## The Algorithm

Algorithm Bottom Points
3: while $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ do

8: if IP $(\star)$ is solvable for $T$ then $y \leftarrow$ optimal solution of $(\star)$
10: $\quad$ store $y$ into $\mathcal{B}$
11: $\quad$ for all hyperplanes $H_{i}$ of $T$ do
12:
13:
14: if $y \notin H_{i}$ then

$T_{i} \leftarrow \operatorname{cone}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i-1}, y, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right) \quad \mathcal{B}=\{(1,2),(1,1)\}$ store $T_{i}$ into $\mathcal{S}$
15: return $\mathcal{B}$

$$
\min \left\{N^{T} x \mid x \in S \cap \mathbb{Z}^{d}, x \neq 0, N^{T} x<N^{T} x_{1}\right\}
$$

We triangulate the lower facets of $\operatorname{conv}\left(\mathcal{B} \cup\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}\right)$ and evaluate this triangulation with the usual Normaliz algorithm.
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## Results

|  | hickerson-16 |  | hickerson-18 |  | knapsack_11_60 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| simplex vol | 9.83 e 7 |  | 4.17 e 14 |  | 2.8 e 14 |  |
| bottom vol | 8.10 e 5 |  | 3.86 e 7 |  | 2.02 e 7 |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) | (1) | (2) |
| our vol | 3.93 e 6 | 3.93 e 6 | 5.47 e 7 | 8.42 e 7 | 2.39 e 7 | 9.36 e 9 |
| factor | 25 | 25 | 7.62 e 6 | 4.95 e 6 | 1.09 e 7 | 2.99 e 4 |
| old time | 2s |  | $>12 \mathrm{~d}$ |  | $>8 \mathrm{~d}$ |  |
| new time | 0.5s | 0.4s | 46s | 50s | 5s | 2m30s |
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* If "large" simplices are remaining (both cases): approximate on a higher level. (Which one?)
* Tweak settings in SCIP (time bounds etc.).
* Use less generators for approximating cone. (Partial Fourier-Motzkin Elimination)
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## Demo

```
Normaliz 3.0.0
    (C) The Normaliz Team, University of Osnabrueck
        September 2015 \.|
        \|
Compute: DefaultMode
Computing extreme rays as support hyperplanes of the dual cone:
************************************************************
starting primal algorithm (only support hyperplanes) ...
Generators sorted lexicographically
Start simplex 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Checking for pointed ... done.
Select extreme rays via comparison ... done.
*****************************************************************
starting primal algorithm with full triangulation ...
Roughness 7
Generators sorted by degree and lexicographically
Generators per degree:
2: 2 4: 2 14: 6
Start simplex 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
Pointed since graded
evaluating 1 simplices
|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
1 simplices, 0 HB candidates accumulated.
1 \text { large simplices stored}
Evaluating 1 large simplices
Large simplex 1 / 1
simplex volume 416728074151872
***************************************************
```



